

Macular Photocoagulation Study

Extrafoveal Study

Purpose: Randomized controlled clinical trial to determine whether argon laser is effective for preventing or delaying SVL in eyes with EFCNVM secondary to ARMD, OHS, INVS.

Eligibility: Patients:

- ☞ Angiographic evidence of CNVM with foveal edge 200 to 2500 μ from center of FAZ. "Well defined NVM"
- ☞ Best corrected VA 20/100 or better. Age \geq 50 years
- ☞ Peripapillary lesions only if spared 1.5 clock hours of NFL
- ☞ ARMD must have drusen, OHS must have one other atrophic scar, INVS had nothing else.

Stuff:

- ☞ 12 centers, Bailey-Lovie chart, full exam, photos, angio.
- ☞ Argon blue green laser. Angio within 72 hours. Laser settings: 200 μ spot size, 0.2-0.5 sec duration surround NVM 100 to 125 μ (if NVM within 350 μ , 100 μ used), start with 200mW with test burn.
- ☞ Retrobulbar used
- ☞ Uniform whitening

Patient Follow Up: ☞ Tx'd pts 3 to 9 weeks post tx. Nontx'd 3 to 6 mths.

Outcome measured:

- ☞ Best correct VA.
- ☞ SVL (defined as loss of 6 lines, 4 fold \uparrow in visual angle).

Results:

ARMD

Visual Acuity 20/200 or worse

Time	Treated	Non Treated	Difference
18 months	14%	33%	2X
3 years	31%	52%	1.7X

Risk of SVL

--	--	--	--

Time	Treated	Non Treated	RR
18 months	21%	43%	2.0 (50% reduc)
3 years	47%	62%	1.4 (24% reduc)
5 years	46%	64%	1.4 (24% reduc)

⊖ Recurrence rate in treated eyes 54% (75% within first year) in five years.

OHS

VA 20/200 or worse

Time	Treated	Non Treated
3 years	5%	39%
5 years	?	?

☺ Recurrence rate 26%.

Risk of SVL

Time	Treated	Non Treated	Difference
18 months	9%	36%	3.6X (60% reduc)
3 years	10%	48%	4.8X (78% reduc)
5 years	12%	42%	4X

Idiopathic Neovascularization

VA 20/200 or worse

Time	Treated	Non Treated
3 years	7%	26%
5 years	?	?

Risk of SVL

Time	Treated	Non Treated	Difference
18 months	13%	38%	2.9X
3 years	20%	36%	1.8X

5 years	23%	48%	
---------	-----	-----	--

Juxtafoveal Study

Purpose: Multi center controlled clinical trial designed to determine whether krypton red laser photocoagulation is of value in preventing vision loss in eyes with ARMD in eyes with CNVM 1 to 199 μ from center FAZ or CNVM 200 μ or farther from center of FAZ with blocked florescence or blood extending within 200 μ of the FAZ center.

Eligibility: Patients:

- ☞ Angiographic evidence of edge of CNVM between 1 to 199 μ from center FAZ or CNVM 200 μ or farther from center of FAZ with blocked florescence or blood extending within 200 μ of the FAZ center.
- ☞ Best corrected VA 20/400 or better. Age \geq 50 years
- ☞ Peripapillary lesions only if spared 1.5 clock hours of NFL
- ☞ ARMD must have drusen.

Stuff:

- ☞ 13 centers, Bailey-Lovie chart, full exam, photos, angio.
- ☞ Krypton red laser. Angio within 72 hours. Surround NVM by 100 to 125 μ on non foveal side and up to center of FAZ.

Patient Follow Up: ☞ Tx'd pts 2,4 & 6 weeks post tx. Nontx'd 3 to 6 mths.

Outcome measured:

- ☞ Best correct VA.
- ☞ SVL (defined as loss of 6 lines, 4 fold \uparrow in visual angle).

Results:

Best Corrected VA 20/200 or worse

Time	Treated	Non Treated
3 years	31%	49%
Avg. VA	20/200	20/250

Proportion with SVL

Time	Treated	Non Treated	Difference
1 year	31%	41%	1.5X

3 years	50%	61%	1.2X
5 years	55%	65%	1.1X

Proportion with SVL in relation to hypertension (3 years)

Hypertension	Treated	Non Treated	Difference
Normotensive	31%	64%	2X
Suspect HTN	46%	70%	1.5X
Definite HTN	58%	58%	0X

☹ 55% developed recurrence within 1 year.

Fellow eye risk factors.

1. 5 or more drusen (RR 2.1)
2. Focal hyperpigmentation (RR 2.0)
3. Large drusen (RR 1.5)
4. Hypertention (RR 1.7)

One risk factor 7%, two 30%, three 60%, four 87%.

Only 10% without RPE hyperpigmentation & soft drusen.

Note: To be eligible the lesion had to be well defined only. It could therefore be occult or classic. Parts can be obscured by blood or pigment. 10-15% of all lesions qualify based on these criteria.

Subfoveal Study

Purpose: A clinical trial conducted to evaluate the effect on vision of laser treatment (argon green or krypton red) compared with no treatment of eyes with subfoveal CNVM associated with ARMD.

Eligibility: Patients:

- 1) Angiographic evidence of edge of CNVM under the center of the FAZ. "Classic CNVM under FAZ center and may have occult elsewhere". No larger than 3.5 DA.
- 2) Component of classic CNV
- 3) CNV with classic and occult (as long as part well defined)
- 4) Boundaries well demarcated
- 5) Entire lesion ≤ 3.5 MPS DA (recurrent arm of study 6 DA allowed)
- 6) BCVA 20/40 - 20/320
- 7) Age ≥ 50 years
- 8) ARMD must have drusen.

Stuff: ☞ 13 centers, Bailey-Lovie chart, full exam, photos, angio.

1. Either Krypton red laser or argon green (pts randomly assigned).
2. Angio within 96 hours.
3. Surround NVM by 100 μ . Treat entire lesion both classic and occult.
4. Treat features that block (blood, blocked floor, serrous PED)
5. 200-500 μ
6. 0.5 sec
7. 300 μ into prior tx, cover all feeder 100 μ sides

Patient Follow Up: ☞ For all pts 3 & 6 months.

Results:

Three year outcome for recurrent CNVM with ARMD

	SVL	Lost lines	Median VA	Reading Speed

Treated	17%	2.6	20/250	35 wpm
Untreated	39%	3.9	20/320	15 wpm

Three year outcome for new CNVM with ARMD

	SVL	Lost lines	Median VA	Reading speed
Treated	23%	3.5	20/320	22 wpm
Untreated	45%	5.0	20/500	13 wpm

☺ By 12 months untreated group caught up to treated.

NB. Same trend for reading speed.

Follow up studies identified key factors associated with good out come.

Lesion size: small ≤ 1 DA
medium 1-2 DA
large 3 DA

VA: good $\geq 20/125$
moderate $\geq 20/160$
poor 20/200

<u>Size</u>	<u>VA</u>	<u>Group</u>	<u>Outcome</u>
< 1DA >20/125		A	Benefit throughout follow-up
< 1DA <20/100		B	Tx worse year 1, substantially better thereafter
1-2DA >20/200		A	
1-2DA <20/160		B	
> 2DA >20/200		C	Slightly better throughout
> 2DA <20/160		D	Worse 18 months, no difference thereafter

Key factors influencing outcomes:

8. Larger lesion had smaller benefit.
9. ≤ 1 DA earlier tx benefit.
10. VA 20/40 to 20/100 did not show benefit till 18 months.

NB. No difference between Argon vs, Krypton.